



**FILED**

01/25/22  
01:06 PM

CS8/cmf 1/25/2022

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric  
Company to Revise its Electric Marginal  
Costs, Revenue Allocation and Rate  
Design. (U39M)

Application 19-11-019

**E-MAIL RULING ADOPTING SHORTENED RESPONSE PERIOD  
TO JOINT MOTION AND BIFURCATING PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE**

Dated January 25, 2022, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ CAROLYN SISTO

Carolyn Sisto  
Administrative Law Judge

**From:** Sisto, Carolyn <Carolyn.Sisto@cpuc.ca.gov> ; **Sent:** Tuesday, January 25, 2022 12:23 PM  
**To:** JArmstrong@seia.org; Douglass@EnergyAttorney.com; William.K.Briggs@sce.com;  
SLCohen@SDGE.com; KernTax@KernTaxPayers.org; Paula.Fernandez@sfcityatty.org; Van  
Geffen, John T. <John.VanGeffen@cpuc.ca.gov>; Matthew@TURN.org; Rita.Liotta@navy.mil;  
AMejia-Cunningham@nrhc.org; Jennifer@UtilityAdvocates.org; TLindl@KeyesFox.com;  
NSheriff@Buchalter.com; LRafii@Buchalter.com; john@ohmconnect.com;  
EPoole@adplaw.com; GLSg@pge.com; SSMyers@att.net; Dan@CalSLA.org;  
Katherine.Ramsey@SierraClub.org; service@cforat.org; CESA\_Regulatory@StorageAlliance.org;  
cmkehrrein@ems-ca.com; Brad@calssa.org; RL@esLawFirm.com; KMills@cfbf.com;  
ATrowbridge@DayCarterMurphy.com; ATrowbridge@DayCarterMurphy.com;  
ATrowbridge@DayCarterMurphy.com; barbara@barkovichandyap.com; Walwyn, Christine  
<Christine.Walwyn@cpuc.ca.gov>; EJSX@pge.com; Fred@NWEnergy.org;  
regulatory@mceCleanEnergy.org; Paul@BarkovichAndYap.com; Ryan.Mann@Enel.com;  
MRW@MRWassoc.com; khojasteh.davoodi@navy.mil; larry.r.allen5.civ@us.navy.mil;  
CPUCdockets@EQ-research.com; bdickman@newgenstrategies.net;  
JSchlesinger@KeyesFox.com; samantha.reifer@scalemicrogridsolutions.com;  
Case.Admin@sce.com; hank.elgin@sce.com; priscilla.medrano@sce.com; DCheng@TURN.org;  
ANHammer@sdge.com; CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com; gmorien@sdge.com;  
kbarlow@sdge.com; RFulton@SDGE.com; CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com;  
BMalowney@sdge.com; JWaen@PeninsulaCleanEnergy.com;  
MRutherford@PeninsulaCleanEnergy.com; Marc.Monbouquette@enel.com;  
Poonum.Agrawal@SVCleanEnergy.org; Madduri, Parimalram "Achintya"  
<Parimalram.Madduri@cpuc.ca.gov>; Siebuhr, Alan <Alan.Siebuhr@cpuc.ca.gov>; Gutierrez,  
Benjamin <Benjamin.Gutierrez@cpuc.ca.gov>; Sisto, Carolyn <Carolyn.Sisto@cpuc.ca.gov>;  
Hogan, Christopher <Christopher.Hogan@cpuc.ca.gov>; Peck, David B.  
<david.peck@cpuc.ca.gov>; McKinney, Jeanne <jeanne.mckinney@cpuc.ca.gov>; Tesfai,  
Leuwam <leuwam.tesfai@cpuc.ca.gov>; Foudeh, Masoud <Masoud.Foudeh@cpuc.ca.gov>;  
Young, Megan <Megan.Young@cpuc.ca.gov>; Chau, Nathan <Nathan.Chau@cpuc.ca.gov>;  
Voris, Philip <Philip.Voris@cpuc.ca.gov>

**From:** Sisto, Carolyn <Carolyn.Sisto@cpuc.ca.gov> ; **Sent:** Tuesday, January 25, 2022 12:23 PM  
**To:** Li, Pui-Wa <Pui-Wa.Li@cpuc.ca.gov>; Saraie, Ryan <Ryan.Saraie@cpuc.ca.gov>; Gutierrez,  
Thomas <Thomas.Gutierrez@cpuc.ca.gov>; Richardson, Whitney  
<Whitney.Richardson@cpuc.ca.gov>; Li, Xian Ming "Cindy" <Xian.Li@cpuc.ca.gov>;  
JKantor@KeyesFox.com; B2SG@pge.com; YXT5@pge.com; C7MO@pge.com;  
josephine.wu@pge.com; JKL@pge.com; karen.alcantara@pge.com; s9pa@pge.com;  
Shirley.Woo@pge.com; Maria@OhmConnect.com; Rick.Brown@TerraVerde.energy;  
VidhyaPrabhakaran@dwt.com; manal@caliberstrat.com; Pabst@WestRoadStrategies.com;  
RegRelcpucCases@pge.com; CPUccases@pge.com; proceedings@mail.com;  
tedmister@ebce.org; Alison@LTMuniConsultants.com; cathy@barkovichandyap.com;  
ssoldavini@mcecleanenergy.org; SChen@mceCleanEnergy.org; philm@scdenergy.com;  
klc@alcantar-law.com; Carmen@Recurve.com; lisa.harrison@cco.sccgov.org;  
Luisa.Elkins@SanJoseCa.gov; Taylor.knecht@sanjoseca.gov; Berlin@SusieBerlinLaw.com;  
Christina.Jaworski@vta.org; NReardon@SonomaCleanPower.org; mccann@mcubed-econ.net;

Alexiar@PioneerCommunityEnergy.ca.gov; cbermel@politico.com; Hlavka, Eileen  
<Eileen.Hlavka@cpuc.ca.gov>; MBoccadoro@WestCoastAdvisors.com;  
Regulatory@BraunLegal.com; blaising@braunlegal.com; Steven@Moss.net;  
KJohnston@cbbf.com; Wu, Mabel <Mabel.Wu@cpuc.ca.gov>

**Cc:** ALJ\_Support ID <alj\_supportid@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Process <alj\_process@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ  
Docket Office <ALJ\_Docket\_Office@cpuc.ca.gov>

**Subject:** A.19-11-019: Email Ruling Adopting Shortened Response Period to Joint Motion and  
Bifurcating Procedural Schedule

To the Service List of A.19-11-019:

This email ruling directs parties to respond to the California Large Energy Consumers Association (CLECA) and to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's (PG&E) motion ([Joint Motion](#)) related to an outstanding property tax adder compliance issue within Application (A.)19-11-019 no later than February 1, 2022. It also allows PG&E and CLECA to respond to any responses filed to the Joint Motion and adopts a separate schedule for the issues addressed within the Joint Motion.

Decision (D.) 21-11-016 addressed the majority of issues related to PG&E's calculation of its marginal generation capacity cost (MGCC) for rates that PG&E intends to implement on June 1, 2022. The appropriate calculation of a property tax adder to be included in the MGCC calculation, however, was a contested issue of fact that was not resolved in D.21-11-016. A.19-11-019 remains open to consider this outstanding contested issue, along with several issues related to the design of new real-time pricing (RTP) and pilots to test dynamic rate designs for PG&E's customers.

On January 21, 2022, PG&E and CLECA filed a Joint Motion seeking a shortened response period to its motion to receive into evidence a joint stipulation on the property tax adder issues that were unresolved in D.21-11-016. The stipulation was included as Attachment A to the Joint Motion. The Joint Motion further sought a bifurcation of the property tax adder issues from the outstanding RTP issues, to allow time for PG&E to implement the agreed-upon property tax adder calculation in new rates effective June 1, 2022.

Rule 11.1(e) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure permits an Administrative Law Judge to set the date by which responses to a motion shall be filed and determine whether the party filing the motion should be permitted to reply to the responses to the motion.

Therefore, IT IS RULED:

1. No later than February 1, 2022, parties to A.19-11-019 may respond to the Joint Motion of the California Large Energy Consumers Association and Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Bifurcate the General Rate Case Phase II Procedural Schedule from the Real Time Pricing Track and to Establish a Separate Expedited Schedule for Deciding the Property Tax Adder Compliance Issue from Decision 21-11-016, and the stipulation on the property tax adder compliance issue included as Attachment A of the Joint Motion.
2. No later than February 7, 2022, the California Large Energy Consumers Association and Pacific Gas and Electric Company may respond to parties' responses to their Joint Motion.
3. The Administrative Law Judge shall consider the Property Tax Adder Compliance Issue from Decision 21-11-016 on a separate, expedited schedule from the remaining Real-Time Pricing issues outstanding in A.19-11-019.
4. The record in A.19-11-019 related to the Property Tax Adder Compliance Issue from Decision 21-11-016 will stand submitted on February 7, 2022, after all parties have had opportunity to respond to the Joint Motion, unless the Administrative Law Judge requires additional information.

The Docket Office shall formally file this email ruling.

Carrie Sisto  
Administrative Law Judge  
California Public Utilities Commission

*Note: This email ruling is being issued in batches to accommodate the size of the service list.*